arXiv:1407.7351v1 [math.SP] 28 Jul 2014

A quantitative version of Gordon's Theorem for Jacobi and Sturm-Liouville operators

Christian Seifert

July 29, 2014

Abstract

We prove a quantitative version of Gordon's Theorem concerning absence of eigenvalues for Jacobi matrices and Sturm-Liouville operators with complex coefficients.

MSC2010: 34L15, 34L40, 81Q12

Key words: Jacobi matrices, Sturm-Liouville operators, eigenvalue problem, quasiperiodic operators

1 Introduction

In this paper we study the absence of eigenvalues for (discrete) Jacobi operators

$$(H_{a,b}u)(n) := a(n+1)u(n+1) + b(n)u(n) + a(n)u(n-1) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z})$$

where $a, b \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\frac{1}{a(\cdot)} \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$, and analogously (continuum) Sturm-Liouville operators

$$H_{a,\mu}u := -\partial a \partial u + u\mu,$$

where $a \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\frac{1}{a} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and μ is locally a complex Radon measure (for precise definitions on μ see Section 3 below).

Under the assumption that the coefficients of the operators can be (locally) approximated by periodic ones in a suitable sense we prove absence of eigenvalues for these operators. While in the Jacobi case we will work with ℓ_∞-approximation, for the Sturm-Liouville case we use approximation in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$ for a and a weak Wasserstein-type metric for μ. Controlling the approximation rate in a quantitative way we obtain lower bounds on the modulus of eigenvalues, where the bound is determined by the (norms of the) coefficents of the operator and the approximation rate.

In this way, our result may be seen as a quantitative version of Gordon's Theorem, which first appeared in [6], and since then was subsequently generalized [7, 2, 3, 4, 10, 11, 8, 12]. However, all the previous results stick to the case of (discrete or continuum) Schrödinger operators, and except of [12] to a

qualitative statement in the self-adjoint case. The first quantitative result appeared in [12] for not necessarily self-adjoint continuum Schrödinger operators on $L_2(\mathbb{R})$, where the eigenvalue bound is proven to be sharp.

We will not only consider the operators in $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $L_2(\mathbb{R})$, respectively, but in $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$ and $C_0(\mathbb{R})$, so that we in turn obtain eigenvalue bounds also for the whole $\ell_p(\mathbb{Z})$ and $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ scale for $1 \leqslant p < \infty$. Note that all the sequence and function spaces we will work with are complex-valued, so that the coefficients may be complex, thus obtaining (in general) non-self-adjoint operators.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we deal with the discrete Jacobi case, where we also provide an example for quasiperiodic coefficients. Section 3 is devoted to the continuum Sturm-Liouville case. Here, we will also comment on optimality of our eigenvalue bound. In the appendix we provide discrete and continuum versions of Gronwall's lemma and a short lemma on norms of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$-matrices.

2 The Jacobi case

For sequences $a, b \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\frac{1}{a(\cdot)} \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ we consider the Jacobi matrix $H_{a,b}\colon c_0(\mathbb{Z}) \to c_0(\mathbb{Z})$, where $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$ is the space of complex sequences with index set $\mathbb{Z}$ converging to 0 at $\pm\infty$, defined by

$$(H_{a,b}u)(n) := a(n+1)u(n+1) + b(n)u(n) + a(n)u(n-1) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

We are going to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $a, b \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\frac{1}{a(\cdot)} \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$. Assume there exists a sequence (p_m) in $\mathbb{N}$ with $p_m \to \infty$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$e^{Cp_m} \max_{k=-p_m+1,\dots,p_m} \big(|a(k+1) - a(k+1+p_m)| + |b(k) - b(k+p_m)|\big) \to 0. \quad (1)$$

Then $H_{a,b}$ does not have any eigenvalues with modulus less than $\frac{e^{C/2} - \|a\|_\infty}{\left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty} + \|b\|_\infty - 1$.

The condition (1) in the preceding theorem states that the difference of the three pieces $\big((a(n+1), b(n))\big)_{n\in\{-p_m+1,\dots,0\}}$, $\big((a(n+1), b(n))\big)_{n\in\{1,\dots,p_m\}}$ and $\big((a(n+1), b(n))\big)_{n\in\{p_m+1,\dots,2p_m\}}$ tends to zero (as $m \to \infty$) faster than the exponential e^{-Cp_m}. This may be seen as a quantitative (in the sense of the exponential rate) version of Gordon's condition for potentials of discrete Schrödinger operators, see e.g. [6]. In case condition (1) holds true for all $C > 0$, we obtain an analogue of Gordon's theorem [6] for Jacobi matices.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $a, b \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\frac{1}{a(\cdot)} \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$. Assume that condition (1) holds true for all $C > 0$. Then $H_{a,b}$ does not have any eigenvalues.*

Note that since $\ell_p(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq c_0(\mathbb{Z})$ for all $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, we obtain the same result for $H_{a,b}$ considered as an operator in $\ell_p(\mathbb{Z})$ with $1 \leqslant p < \infty$.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, $a, b \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\frac{1}{a(\cdot)} \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$. Assume that (1) is satisfied. Then $H_{a,b}\colon \ell_p(\mathbb{Z}) \to \ell_p(\mathbb{Z})$ does not have any eigenvalues with modulus less than $\frac{e^{C/2} - \|a\|_\infty}{\left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty} + \|b\|_\infty - 1$. In case (1) holds true for all $C > 0$, then $H_{a,b}$ does not have any eigenvalues.*

Remark 2.4. Such a result cannot hold in $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$, since periodic Jacobi matrices (i.e., Jacobi matrices with periodic sequences a and b) have periodic eigensolutions (which are therefore in $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$).

We will split the proof into several lemmas.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $a, b \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$, $a(n) \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u\colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$. The following are equivalent:*

(a) u is a solution of the difference equation

$$a(n+1)u(n+1) + b(n)u(n) + a(n)u(n-1) - zu(n) = 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

(b) For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(m+1) \\ a(m+1)u(m) \end{pmatrix} = T_z(m,n) \begin{pmatrix} u(n+1) \\ a(n+1)u(n) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$T_z(m,n) = \begin{cases} M_z(m-1) \cdots M_z(n+1) M_z(n) & m > n, \\ I & m = n, \\ M_z(m)^{-1} \cdots M_z(n-2)^{-1} M_z(n-1)^{-1} & m < n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$M_z(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z - b(n+1)}{a(n+2)} & -\frac{1}{a(n+2)} \\ a(n+2) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. "(a) $\Rightarrow$ (b)": Fix $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let

$$T_z(m,n)\colon \begin{pmatrix} u(n+1) \\ a(n+1)u(n) \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} u(m+1) \\ a(m+1)u(m) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $T_z(m,n)$ is linear and thus can be represented by a matrix (which we will also denote by $T_z(m,n)$). Since u satisfies the difference equation, we compute

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(n+2) \\ a(n+2)u(n+1) \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \frac{z - b(n+1)}{a(n+2)} & -\frac{1}{a(n+2)} \\ a(n+2) & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{= M_z(n)} \begin{pmatrix} u(n+1) \\ a(n+1)u(n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$T_z(m,n) = \begin{cases} M_z(m-1) \cdots M_z(n+1) M_z(n) & m > n, \\ I & m = n, \\ M_z(m)^{-1} \cdots M_z(n-2)^{-1} M_z(n-1)^{-1} & m < n. \end{cases}$$

"(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a)": A direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} &T_z(n+1,n)\begin{pmatrix} u(n+1) \\ a(n+1)u(n)\end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a(n+2)}\big(zu(n+1)-b(n+1)u(n+1)-a(n+1)u(n)\big) \\ a(n+1)u(n)\end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$u(n+2) = \frac{1}{a(n+2)}\big(zu(n+1)-b(n+1)u(n+1)-a(n+1)u(n)\big),$$

which means that u satisfies the difference equation. □

Note that $\det T_z(m,n) = 1$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, $T_z(m,n)$ "depends locally" on a and b, i.e. $T_z(m,n)$ depends only on $a(k+1)$ and $b(k)$ for $k \in \{\min\{m,n\}+1, \dots, \max\{m,n\}\}$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $a, b \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$ be p-periodic, $a(n) \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, u a solution of the difference equation*

$$a(n+1)u(n+1) + b(n)u(n) + a(n)u(n-1) - zu(n) = 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then

$$\max\left\{\left\|\begin{pmatrix} u(n+1) \\ a(n+1)u(n)\end{pmatrix}\right\|;\ n \in \{-p, p, 2p\}\right\} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\|\begin{pmatrix} u(1) \\ a(1)u(0)\end{pmatrix}\right\|.$$

Proof. By periodicity of a and b we have $T_z(p,0) = T_z(2p,p) = T_z(0,-p) =: T$. The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem yields (since $\det T = 1$)

$$T^2 - (\operatorname{tr} T)T + I = 0.$$

In case $|\operatorname{tr} T| \leqslant 1$, applying this equation to $(u(1), a(1)u(1))^\top$ we observe

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(2p+1) \\ a(2p+1)u(2p)\end{pmatrix} - \operatorname{tr}(T)\begin{pmatrix} u(p+1) \\ a(p+1)u(p)\end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} u(1) \\ a(1)u(0)\end{pmatrix},$$

and therefore

$$\max\left\{\left\|\begin{pmatrix} u(n+1) \\ a(n+1)u(n)\end{pmatrix}\right\|;\ n \in \{p, 2p\}\right\} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\|\begin{pmatrix} u(1) \\ a(1)u(0)\end{pmatrix}\right\|.$$

If $|\operatorname{tr}(T)| > 1$ we apply the equation to $(u(-p+1), a(-p+1)u(-p))^\top$ to get

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(p+1) \\ a(p+1)u(p)\end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u(-p+1) \\ a(-p+1)u(-p)\end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{tr}(T)\begin{pmatrix} u(1) \\ a(1)u(0)\end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\max\left\{\left\|\begin{pmatrix} u(n+1) \\ a(n+1)u(n)\end{pmatrix}\right\|;\ n \in \{-p, p\}\right\} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\|\begin{pmatrix} u(1) \\ a(1)u(0)\end{pmatrix}\right\|.$$ □

Lemma 2.7. *Let $a, \tilde{a}, b, \tilde{b} \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z})$, $a(n), \tilde{a}(n) \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $u, \tilde{u}$ solutions of the difference equations*

$$a(n+1)u(n+1) + b(n)u(n) + a(n)u(n-1) - zu(n) = 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}),$$
$$\tilde{a}(n+1)\tilde{u}(n+1) + \tilde{b}(n)\tilde{u}(n) + \tilde{a}(n)\tilde{u}(n-1) - z\tilde{u}(n) = 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

respectively, satisfying

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(1) \\ a(1)u(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}(1) \\ \tilde{a}(1)\tilde{u}(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} u(n+1) - \tilde{u}(n+1) \\ a(n+1)u(n) - \tilde{a}(n+1)\tilde{u}(n) \end{pmatrix} \right\|$$
$$\leqslant \prod_{k=\min\{n+1,1\}}^{\max\{0,n\}} \|A(k)\| \sum_{k=\min\{n+1,1\}}^{\max\{0,n\}} \|B(k)\| \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}(k + \frac{1-\operatorname{sgn} n}{2}) \\ \tilde{a}(k + \frac{1-\operatorname{sgn} n}{2})\tilde{u}(k - \frac{1+\operatorname{sgn} n}{2}) \end{pmatrix} \right\|,$$

where

$$A(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z-b(n)}{a(n+1)} & -\frac{1}{a(n+1)} \\ a(n+1) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$B(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{z-b(n)}{a(n+1)} - \frac{z-\tilde{b}(n)}{\tilde{a}(n+1)}\right) & \left(-\frac{1}{a(n+1)} + \frac{1}{\tilde{a}(n+1)}\right) \\ a(n+1) - \tilde{a}(n+1) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. First, assume that $n > 0$. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(n+1) - \tilde{u}(n+1) \\ a(n+1)u(n) - \tilde{a}(n+1)\tilde{u}(n) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{z-b(n)}{a(n+1)}u(n) - \frac{1}{a(n+1)}a(n)u(n-1) - \frac{z-\tilde{b}(n)}{\tilde{a}(n+1)}\tilde{u}(n) + \frac{1}{\tilde{a}(n+1)}\tilde{a}(n)\tilde{u}(n-1) \\ a(n+1)u(n) - \tilde{a}(n+1)\tilde{u}(n) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \frac{z-b(n)}{a(n+1)} & -\frac{1}{a(n+1)} \\ a(n+1) & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{=A(n)} \begin{pmatrix} u(n) - \tilde{u}(n) \\ a(n)u(n-1) - \tilde{a}(n)\tilde{u}(n-1) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$+ \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{z-b(n)}{a(n+1)} - \frac{z-\tilde{b}(n)}{\tilde{a}(n+1)}\right) & \left(-\frac{1}{a(n+1)} + \frac{1}{\tilde{a}(n+1)}\right) \\ a(n+1) - \tilde{a}(n+1) & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{=B(n)} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}(n) \\ \tilde{a}(n)\tilde{u}(n-1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} u(n+1) - \tilde{u}(n+1) \\ a(n+1)u(n) - \tilde{a}(n+1)\tilde{u}(n) \end{pmatrix} \right\|$$
$$\leqslant \|A(n)\| \left\| \begin{pmatrix} u(n) - \tilde{u}(n) \\ a(n)u(n-1) - \tilde{a}(n)\tilde{u}(n-1) \end{pmatrix} \right\| + \|B(n)\| \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}(n) \\ \tilde{a}(n)\tilde{u}(n-1) \end{pmatrix} \right\|.$$

The Gronwall inequality in Lemma A.1 yields the assertion for $n > 0$. For $n \leqslant 0$ we similarly obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{pmatrix} u(n) - \tilde{u}(n) \\ a(n)u(n-1) - \tilde{a}(n)\tilde{u}(n-1) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} u(n) - \tilde{u}(n) \\ -a(n+1)u(n+1) + (z - b(n))u(n) + \tilde{a}(n+1)\tilde{u}(n+1) + (z - \tilde{b}(n))\tilde{u}(n) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{a(n+1)} \\ -a(n+1) & \frac{z-b(n)}{a(n+1)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u(n+1) - \tilde{u}(n+1) \\ a(n+1)u(n) - \tilde{a}(n+1)\tilde{u}(n) \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \left(\frac{1}{a(n+1)} - \frac{1}{\tilde{a}(n+1)}\right) \\ -a(n+1) + \tilde{a}(n+1) & \left(\frac{z-b(n)}{a(n+1)} - \frac{z-\tilde{b}(n)}{\tilde{a}(n+1)}\right) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}(n+1) \\ \tilde{a}(n+1)\tilde{u}(n) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= A(n)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u(n+1) - \tilde{u}(n+1) \\ a(n+1)u(n) - \tilde{a}(n+1)\tilde{u}(n) \end{pmatrix} + B(n)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}(n+1) \\ \tilde{a}(n+1)\tilde{u}(n) \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying again the Gronwall inequality in Lemma A.1 and taking into account Lemma B.1 concludes the proof for $n \leqslant 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| < \frac{e^{C/2} - \|a\|_\infty}{\left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty} + \|b\|_\infty - 1$, and u a solution of the difference equation

$$a(n+1)u(n+1) + b(n)u(n) + a(n)u(n-1) - zu(n) = 0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Assume that $u \neq 0$; then without loss of generality let

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} u(1) \\ a(1)u(0) \end{pmatrix} \right\| = 1.$$

Let u_m be the solution of the corresponding difference equation, where a and b are replaced by the p_m-periodic versions a_m and b_m with $a_m(k+1) = a(k+1)$ and $b_m(k) = b(k)$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, p_m\}$, respectively, satisfying

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_m(1) \\ a_m(1)u_m(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u(1) \\ a(1)u(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can estimate

$$\|M_z(n)\|_{1\to 1}, \|M_z(n)\|_{\infty\to\infty} \leqslant \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty \|z - b\|_\infty + \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty + \|a\|_\infty,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_z(n,0)\| &\leqslant \sqrt{\|T_z(n,0)\|_{1\to 1}\|T_z(n,0)\|_{\infty\to\infty}} \\
&\leqslant \left(\left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty \|z - b\|_\infty + \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty + \|a\|_\infty\right)^{|n|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows

$$\left\|\begin{pmatrix} u_m(n+1) \\ a_m(n+1)u_m(n)\end{pmatrix}\right\| \leqslant \left(\left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty \|z-b\|_\infty + \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty + \|a\|_\infty\right)^{|n|} \quad (n\in\mathbb{Z}, m\in\mathbb{N}).$$

We now apply Lemma 2.7 with $\tilde{a}=a_m$, $\tilde{b}=b_m$ and $\tilde{u}=u_m$. With the notation from this Lemma we obtain (noting that $A(n)=M_z(n)$)

$$\|A(k)\| \leqslant \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty \|z-b\|_\infty + \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty + \|a\|_\infty \quad (k\in\mathbb{Z}),$$

and similarly

$$\|B(k)\| \leqslant \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty^2 \big(|z| + \|b\|_\infty + 1\big) + 1 \quad (k\in\mathbb{Z}).$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\begin{pmatrix} u(n+1)-u_m(n+1) \\ a(n+1)u(n)-a_m(n+1)u_m(n)\end{pmatrix}\right\| \\
&\leqslant \left(\left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty \|z-b\|_\infty + \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty + \|a\|_\infty\right)^{2|n|} \left(\left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty^2 \big(|z| + \|b\|_\infty + 1\big) + 1\right) \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{k=\min\{n+1,1\}}^{\max\{0,n\}} \big(|a(k+1)-a_m(k+1)| + |b(k)-b_m(k)|\big) \\
&\leqslant \left(\left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty \|z-b\|_\infty + \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty + \|a\|_\infty\right)^{2|n|} \left(\left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty^2 \big(|z| + \|b\|_\infty + 1\big) + 1\right)|n| \\
&\quad \cdot \max_{k=\min\{n+1,1\},\ldots,\max\{0,n\}} \big(|a(k+1)-a_m(k+1)| + |b(k)-b_m(k)|\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $n\in\{-p_m,\ldots,2p_m\}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\begin{pmatrix} u(n+1)-u_m(n+1) \\ a(n+1)u(n)-a_m(n+1)u_m(n)\end{pmatrix}\right\| \\
&\leqslant \left(\left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty \|z-b\|_\infty + \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty + \|a\|_\infty\right)^{2p_m} \left(\left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty^2 \big(|z| + \|b\|_\infty + 1\big) + 1\right)2p_m \\
&\quad \cdot \max_{k=-p_m+1,\ldots,2p_m} \big(|a(k+1)-a_m(k+1)| + |b(k)-b_m(k)|\big). \qquad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $a_m(k+1)=a(k+1)$ and $b_m(k)=b(k)$ for $k\in\{1,\ldots,p_m\}$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{k=-p_m+1,\ldots,2p_m} \big(|a(k+1)-a_m(k+1)| + |b(k)-b_m(k)|\big) \\
&= \max_{k=-p_m+1,\ldots,p_m} \big(|a(k+1)-a(k+1+p_m)| + |b(k)-b(k+p_m)|\big).
\end{aligned}$$

By assumption on $|z|$ we obtain

$$\left(\left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty \|z-b\|_\infty + \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty + \|a\|_\infty\right)^{2p_m} < e^{Cp_m}$$

for large m, so the right-hand side in (2) tends to zero as $m \to \infty$. Hence, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all $m \geqslant m_0$ we have

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} u(n+1) - u_m(n+1) \\ a(n+1)u(n) - a_m(n+1)u_m(n) \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leqslant \frac{1}{4} \quad (n \in \{-p_m, \dots, 2p_m\}).$$

Since

$$\max\left\{ \left\| \begin{pmatrix} u_m(n+1) \\ a_m(n+1)u_m(n) \end{pmatrix} \right\|;\ n \in \{-p_m, p_m, 2p_m\} \right\} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by Lemma 2.6, we conclude that

$$\limsup_{|n|\to\infty} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} u(n+1) \\ a(n+1)u(n) \end{pmatrix} \right\| \geqslant \frac{1}{4}.$$

Since $\inf_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |a(n)| > 0$ this implies $\limsup_{|n|\to\infty} |u(n)| > 0$, and therefore $u \notin c_0(\mathbb{Z})$. □

Example 2.8. Let $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$ be β-Hölder continuous and $\tilde{a}(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{T}$. Let $\alpha > 0$ satisfy

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p_m}{q_m} \right| \leqslant B m^{-q_m} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N})$$

for suitable $B > 0$ and a suitable sequence $(\frac{p_m}{q_m})$ in $\mathbb{Q}$. Note that the set of all such numbers α is a dense G_δ set. Set $a(n) := \tilde{a}(\alpha \cdot n)$ and $b(n) := \tilde{b}(\alpha \cdot n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by the Hölder continuity and the assumption on α we observe

$$\begin{aligned} |a(k+1) - a(k+1+q_m)| &\leqslant c \cdot \operatorname{dist}(\alpha q_m, \mathbb{Z})^\beta \leqslant c\big(B q_m m^{-q_m}\big)^\beta \\ &= c B^\beta q_m^\beta e^{-\beta q_m \log m} \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and similarly for b. Thus, (1) is satisfied for all $C > 0$, and therefore $H_{a,b}$ does not have any eigenvalues.

3 The Sturm-Liouville case

We say that

$$\mu\colon \{B \subseteq \mathbb{R};\ B \text{ is a bounded Borel set}\} \to \mathbb{C}$$

is a *local measure* if $\mathbb{1}_K \mu := \mu(\cdot \cap K)$ is a complex Radon measure for any compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then there exist a (unique) nonnegative Radon measure ν on $\mathbb{R}$ and a measurable function $\sigma\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\sigma| = 1$ ν-a.e. and $\mathbb{1}_K \mu = \mathbb{1}_K \sigma \nu$ for all compact sets $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. The *total variation* of μ is defined by $|\mu| := \nu$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ be the space of all local measures on $\mathbb{R}$.

A local measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ is called *uniformly locally bounded* if

$$\|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}} := \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |\mu|((x, x+1]) < \infty.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the space of all uniformly locally bounded local measures. The space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$ naturally extends $L_{1,\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$ to measures.

Given $a \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\frac{1}{a} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$ we consider the differential operator

$$\begin{aligned} D(H_{a,\mu}) &:= \big\{u \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) \cap W^1_{1,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R});\ -\partial a \partial u + u\mu \in C_0(\mathbb{R})\big\},\\ H_{a,\mu} &:= -\partial a \partial u + u\mu \end{aligned}$$

in $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ (the space of continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$ converging to 0 at $\pm\infty$), where the terms are interpreted in the sense of distributions.

Remark 3.1. We will also consider this operator in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$, where $1 \leqslant p < \infty$. Then $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ has to be replaced by $L_p(\mathbb{R})$.

Remark 3.2. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ we write

$$\int_s^t \dots d\mu := \begin{cases} \int_{(s,t]} \dots d\mu & s < t,\\ 0 & s = t,\\ -\int_{(t,s]} \dots d\mu & s > t. \end{cases}$$

Definition. Let $a \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\frac{1}{a} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. We say that $u \in L_{1,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ is a solution of

$$H_{a,\mu} u = zu,$$

if $u \in W^1_{1,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ and $-\partial a \partial u + u\mu = zu$ in the sense of distributions.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $a \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\frac{1}{a} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, u a solution of $H_{a,\mu} u = zu$, $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ an interval of length 1, $1 \leqslant p < \infty$. Then*

$$\|(au')|_I\|_p \leqslant \|(au')|_I\|_\infty \leqslant M\|u|_I\|_\infty \leqslant (p+1)^{1/p} M^{(p+1)/p} \|u|_I\|_p,$$

where $M = (2\|a\|_\infty + \|\mu - z\lambda\|_{\mathrm{unif}})$. In particular, $u \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$ implies $au' \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$ and $u(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$ implies $(au')(x+) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$.

Proof. The first estimate is trivial. For the second estimate note that

$$\left| \int_I u'(t+)\, dt \right| \leqslant 2\|u|_I\|_\infty,$$

so there exists $t_0 \in I$ such that $|u'(t_0+)| \leqslant 2\|u|_I\|_\infty$. For $t \in I$ we compute

$$|(au')(t+)| \leqslant |(au')(t_0+)| + \left| \int_{t_0}^t u(s)\, d(\mu - z\lambda)(s) \right| \leqslant (2\|a\|_\infty + \|\mu - z\lambda\|_{\mathrm{unif}}) \|u|_I\|_\infty$$

which proves the second inequality. Now, choose $s_0 \in I$ such that $|u(s_0)| = \|u|_I\|_\infty$. Then

$$|u(s_0+t)| = \left| u(s_0) + \int_{s_0}^{s_0+t} u'(s)\,ds \right| \geqslant (1 - |t|(2\|a\|_\infty + \|\mu - z\lambda\|_{\mathrm{unif}}))\|u|_I\|_\infty$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s_0 + t \in I$. Hence, we conclude

$$\|u|_I\|_p^p \geqslant \int_0^{1/M} (Mt)^p \|u|_I\|_\infty^p\,dt = \frac{1}{(p+1)M}\|u|_I\|_\infty^p. \qquad \square$$

Remark 3.4. Let $a \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\frac{1}{a} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$. There is also a unique realization of $-\partial a \partial + \mu$ via Sturm-Liouville theory, cf. [5]. To this end, for $u \in W^1_{1,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ we define $A_{a,\mu}u \in L_{1,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$(A_{a,\mu}u)(t) := (au')(t+) - \int_0^t u(s)\,d\mu(s)$$

for a.a. $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Define

$$D(H^{\mathrm{SL}}_{a,\mu}) := \big\{u \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) \cap W^1_{1,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R});\ A_{a,\mu}u \in W^1_{1,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}),\ (A_{a,\mu}u)' \in C_0(\mathbb{R})\big\},$$
$$H^{\mathrm{SL}}_{a,\mu}u := -(A_{a,\mu}u)'.$$

Proposition 3.5. *Let $a \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\frac{1}{a} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $H_{a,\mu} = H^{\mathrm{SL}}_{a,\mu}$.*

Proof. Let $u \in D(H_{a,\mu})$. Then $u \in W^1_2(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq W^1_{1,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ and $A_{a,\mu}u \in L_{1,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. By Fubini's Theorem we observe

$$\begin{aligned}
\int (A_{a,\mu}u)\varphi' &= \int \left(au' - \int_0^x u(t)\,d\mu(t)\right)\varphi'(x)\,dx \\
&= \int au'\varphi' - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^x u(t)\,d\mu(t)\varphi'(x)\,dx \\
&= \int au'\varphi' + \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{(-\infty,t)} \varphi'(x)\,dx u(t)\,d\mu(t) - \int_0^\infty \int_{[t,\infty)} \varphi'(x)\,dx u(t)\,d\mu(t) \\
&= \int au'\varphi' + \int u\varphi\,d\mu = \int H_{a,\mu}u\varphi.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(A_{a,\mu}u)' = -H_{a,\mu}u \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, also $A_{a,\mu}u \in W^1_{1,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ which implies $u \in D(H^{\mathrm{SL}}_{a,\mu})$, $H_{a,\mu}u = H^{\mathrm{SL}}_{a,\mu}u$.

Conversely, let $u \in D(H^{\mathrm{SL}}_{a,\mu})$. For $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ we compute by the help of Fubini's Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\int -(A_{a,\mu}u)'\varphi = \int (A_{a,\mu}u)\varphi' &= \int au'\varphi' - \int_0^t u(s)\,d\mu(s)\varphi'(t)\,dt \\ &= \int au'\varphi' + \int u\varphi\,d\mu.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $u \in D(H_{a,\mu})$, $H_{a,\mu}u = -(A_{a,\mu}u)'$. □

Remark 3.6. Proposition 3.5 remains true if we consider the operators in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ with $1 \leqslant p < \infty$. Furthermore, considering the operators in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$, if additionally a takes values only in a sector around the positive real axis, we obtain an equivalent characterization of $H_{a,\mu}$ via sectorial forms; cf. [12, Remark 3.5 and Theorem 3.6] in case of Schrödinger operators.

Since the operator is now defined, we will next focus on measuring distances of elements in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$.

Definition. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$ and a set $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ (which will usually be an interval) we define

$$\|\mu\|_I := \sup\Big\{\Big|\int u\,d\mu\Big|;\ u \in W^1_\infty(\mathbb{R}),\ \operatorname{spt} u \subseteq I,\ \operatorname{diam}\operatorname{spt} u \leqslant 2,\ \|u'\|_\infty \leqslant 1\Big\}.$$

For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$ we define $\varphi_\mu\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\varphi_\mu(t) := \int_0^t d\mu = \begin{cases}\mu\big((0,t]\big) & \text{if } t \geqslant 0,\\ -\mu\big((t,0]\big) & \text{if } t < 0.\end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.7 (see [12, Proposition 2.7, Remark 2.8 and Lemma 2.9])**.** *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\|\mu\|_{[x-1,x+1]} \leqslant \min_{c\in\mathbb{C}} \int_{x-1}^{x+1} |\varphi_\mu(t) - c|\,dt \leqslant 2\|\mu\|_{[x-1,x+1]}.$$

Hence, there exists $c_x = c_{\mu,x} \in \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$\int_{x-1}^{x+1} |\varphi_\mu(t) - c_x|\,dt \leqslant 2\|\mu\|_{[x-1,x+1]}.$$

Moreover, $c_{\mu,0}$ can be chosen such that $|c_{\mu,0}| \leqslant \|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}}$. Furthermore, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha \leqslant -1$, $\beta \geqslant 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [\alpha, \beta - 1]$ we have

$$\int_k^{k+1} |\varphi_\mu(t) - c_0|\,dt \leqslant 2\max\{k+1, -k\}\|\mu\|_{[\alpha,\beta]}.$$

We can now state Gordon's Theorem for Sturm-Liouville operators.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $a \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\frac{1}{a} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$. Assume there exists (p_m) in $(0,\infty)$ such that $p_m \to \infty$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$e^{Cp_m}\left(\|a - a(\cdot - p_m)\|_{L_1(-p_m,p_m)} + \|\mu - \mu(\cdot + p_m)\|_{[-p_m,p_m]}\right) \to 0. \qquad (3)$$

Then $H_{(a,\mu)}$ does not have any eigenvalues with modulus less than $C^2\left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty - \|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}}$.

As in the discrete case condition (3) states that restrictions of a and μ to the three pieces $[-p_m, 0]$, $[0, p_m]$ and $[p_m, 2p_m]$ do not differ to much (indeed, the difference tends to zero faster than a given exponential).

Corollary 3.9. *Let $a \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\frac{1}{a} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that (3) is satisfied for all $C > 0$. Then $H_{(a,\mu)}$ does not have any eigenvalues.*

Taking into account the estimate in Lemma 3.3 we see that an $L_p(\mathbb{R})$-eigenfunction for the $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ operator is in fact an $C_0(\mathbb{R})$-eigenfunction for the $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ operator. Hence, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.10. *Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, $a \in L_\infty(R)$ such that $\frac{1}{a} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that (3) holds true. Then $H_{a,\mu}$, considered as an operator in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$, does not have any eigenvalues with modulus less than $C^2\left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty - \|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}}$. In case (3) holds true for all $C > 0$, $H_{a,\mu}$ does not have any $L_p(\mathbb{R})$-eigenvalues.*

Again, we provide several lemmas for the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $a \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\frac{1}{a} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. The following are equivalent:*

(a) u is a solution of the equation $H_{a,\mu}u = zu$.

(b) For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ (au')(t+) \end{pmatrix} = T_z(t,s)\begin{pmatrix} u(s) \\ (au')(s+) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$T_z(t,s) = \begin{pmatrix} u_{\mathrm{N}}(t;s) & u_{\mathrm{D}}(t;s) \\ (au'_{\mathrm{N}}(\cdot;s))(t+) & (au'_{\mathrm{D}}(\cdot;s))(t+) \end{pmatrix}$$

and $u_{\mathrm{N}}(\cdot;s)$, $u_{\mathrm{D}}(\cdot;s)$ are the (Neumann and Dirichlet) solution(s) of $Hu = zu$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\mathrm{N}}(s;s) &= 1 & u_{\mathrm{D}}(s;s) &= 0 \\ (au'_{\mathrm{N}}(\cdot;s))(s+) &= 0 & (au'_{\mathrm{D}}(\cdot;s))(s+) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. "(a)⇒(b)": Fix $s,t\in\mathbb{R}$ and let

$$T_z(t,s)\colon \begin{pmatrix} u(s)\\ (au')(s+)\end{pmatrix}\mapsto\begin{pmatrix} u(t)\\ (au')(t+)\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $T_z(t,s)$ is linear and can be represented by a matrix, which we will also denote by $T_z(t,s)$. By the initial conditions for the Neumann and Dirichlet solution we observe

$$\begin{aligned} T_z(t,s)=T_z(t,s)\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}&=T_z(t,s)\begin{pmatrix} u_{\mathrm{N}}(s;s) & u_{\mathrm{D}}(s;s)\\ (au'_{\mathrm{N}}(\cdot;s))(s+) & (au'_{\mathrm{D}}(\cdot;s))(s+)\end{pmatrix}\\ &=\begin{pmatrix} u_{\mathrm{N}}(t;s) & u_{\mathrm{D}}(t;s)\\ (au'_{\mathrm{N}}(\cdot;s))(t+) & (au'_{\mathrm{D}}(\cdot;s))(t+)\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

"(b)⇒(a)": For $s,t\in\mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t)&=u_{\mathrm{N}}(t;s)\cdot u(s)+u_{\mathrm{D}}(t;s)\cdot(au')(s+),\\ (au')(t+)&=(au'_{\mathrm{N}}(\cdot;s))(t+)\cdot u(s)+(au'_{\mathrm{D}}(\cdot;s))(t+)\cdot(au')(s+).\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating the second equality, taking into account that $u_{\mathrm{N}}(\cdot;s)$ and $u_{\mathrm{D}}(\cdot;s)$ are solutions and noting the first equality yields

$$\begin{aligned} -(au')'&=-(au'_{\mathrm{N}}(\cdot;s))'\cdot u(s)-(au'_{\mathrm{D}}(\cdot;s))'\cdot(au')(s+)\\ &=z\big(u_{\mathrm{N}}(\cdot;s)\cdot u(s)+u_{\mathrm{D}}(\cdot;s)\cdot(au')(s+)\big)\\ &\quad-\big(u_{\mathrm{N}}(\cdot;s)\cdot u(s)+u_{\mathrm{D}}(\cdot;s)\cdot(au')(s+)\big)\mu\\ &=zu-u\mu.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, u is a solution of $H_{a,\mu}u=zu$. □

Lemma 3.12. *Let $a\in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\frac{1}{a}\in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\mu\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$, u a solution of $H_{a,\mu}u=0$. Then*

$$|u(t)|+|(au')(t+)|\leqslant\big(|u(0)|+|(au')(0+)|\big)e^{(\left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty+\|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}})(|t|+1)}\quad(t\in\mathbb{R}).$$

Proof. Writing

$$\begin{aligned} u(t)&=u(0)+\int_0^t(au')(s+)\frac{1}{a(s)}\,ds,\\ (au')(t+)&=(au')(0+)+\int_0^t u(s)\,d\mu(s),\end{aligned}$$

we obtain for $\varphi(t):=|u(t)|+|(au')(t+)|$ and $\nu:=\frac{1}{a}\lambda+|\mu|$ the inequality

$$\varphi(t)\leqslant\varphi(0)+\int_{(t,0]}\varphi(s)\,d\nu(s)\quad(t\leqslant 0).$$

By Gronwall's inequality (see Lemma A.2) we infer

$$\varphi(t) \leqslant \varphi(0) e^{\nu((t,0])} \quad (t \leqslant 0).$$

Since $\|\nu\|_{\mathrm{unif}} \leqslant \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty + \|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}}$ and $\nu((t,0]) \leqslant \|\nu\|_{\mathrm{unif}}(|t|+1)$, we obtain the assertion for $t \leqslant 0$.

For $t > 0$ we set

$$\varphi_-(s) := |u(s)| + |(au')(s-)| \leqslant \varphi(0) + \int_{(0,s)} \varphi_-(r)\, d\nu(r).$$

The Gronwall's inequality in Lemma A.2 yields

$$|u(s)| + |(au')(s-)| = \varphi_-(s) \leqslant \varphi(0) e^{\nu((0,s))} = \big(|u(0)| + |(au')(0+)|\big) e^{\nu((0,s))}.$$

For $s \downarrow t$ we the assertion follows, since $\nu((0,t]) \leqslant \|\nu\|_{\mathrm{unif}}(|t|+1)$. □

Lemma 3.13. *Let $a, \tilde{a} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{\tilde{a}} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\mu, \tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$, and u and $\tilde{u}$ two solutions of $H_{a,\mu}u = 0$ and $H_{\tilde{a},\tilde{\mu}}\tilde{u} = 0$, respectively, satisfying*

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(0) \\ (au')(0+) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}(0) \\ (\tilde{a}\tilde{u}')(0+) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{pmatrix} u(t) - \tilde{u}(t) \\ (au')(t+) - (\tilde{a}\tilde{u}')(t+) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= T_\mu(t,s) \begin{pmatrix} u(s) - \tilde{u}(s) \\ (au')(s+) - (\tilde{a}\tilde{u}')(s+) \end{pmatrix} + \int_s^t T_\mu(t,r) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{u}(r) \end{pmatrix} d(\mu - \tilde{\mu})(r) \\ &\quad + \int_s^t \left(\tfrac{1}{a(r)} - \tfrac{1}{\tilde{a}(r)} \right) T_\mu(t,r) \begin{pmatrix} (\tilde{a}\tilde{u}')(r+) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $s = 0$. Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t T_\mu(r,0)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \tilde{u}(r) \end{pmatrix} d(\mu - \tilde{\mu})(r) = \begin{pmatrix} -\int_0^t u_{\mathrm{D}}(r)\tilde{u}(r)\, d(\mu - \tilde{\mu})(r) \\ \int_0^t u_{\mathrm{N}}(r)\tilde{u}(r)\, d(\mu - \tilde{\mu})(r) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} u(0) \\ (au')(0+) \end{pmatrix} - T_\mu(0,t) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}(t) \\ (\tilde{a}\tilde{u}')(t+) \end{pmatrix} - \int_0^t \big(\tfrac{1}{a(r)} - \tfrac{1}{\tilde{a}(r)} \big) T_\mu(0,r) \begin{pmatrix} (\tilde{a}\tilde{u}')(r+) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $T_\mu(t,0)$ yields the assertion, since $T_\mu(t,0)T_\mu(r,0)^{-1} = T_\mu(t,r)$. □

Lemma 3.14. *Let $a, \tilde{a} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{\tilde{a}} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\mu, \tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and u and $\tilde{u}$ two solutions of $H_{a,\mu}u = 0$ and $H_{\tilde{a},\tilde{\mu}}\tilde{u} = 0$, respectively, satisfying*

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(0) \\ (au')(0+) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}(0) \\ (\tilde{a}\tilde{u}')(0+) - c_{\mu-\tilde{\mu},0}u(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha \leqslant -1$, $\beta \geqslant 1$. Let $c, \omega > 0$ such that

$$|u_{\mathrm{N}}(t,s)|, |\partial_1 u_{\mathrm{D}}(t+,s)| \leqslant ce^{\omega|t-s|} \quad (s,t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on ω and $\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{\mathrm{unif}}$ such that

$$|u(t)-\tilde{u}(t)| \leqslant Cce^{\omega|t|}\big\|\tilde{u}|_{[\alpha,\beta]}\big\|_\infty\big(\big\|(a-\tilde{a})|_{[\alpha,\beta]}\big\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\mu-\tilde{\mu}\|_{[\alpha,\beta]}\big) \quad (t \in [\alpha,\beta]).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.13 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) - \tilde{u}(t) &= -u_{\mathrm{D}}(t)c_{\mu-\tilde{\mu},0}u(0) + \int_0^t u_{\mathrm{D}}(t,r)\tilde{u}(r)\,d(\mu-\tilde{\mu})(r) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{a(r)} - \frac{1}{\tilde{a}(r)}\right) u_{\mathrm{N}}(t,r)(\tilde{a}\tilde{u}')(r+)\,dr. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_{\mathrm{D}}(t,t) = 0$ we have

$$u_{\mathrm{D}}(t,r)\tilde{u}(r) = -\int_r^t \frac{d}{ds}\big(u_{\mathrm{D}}(t,s)\tilde{u}(s)\big)\,ds.$$

Fubini's Theorem then implies

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) - \tilde{u}(t) &= \int_0^t \big(c_{\mu-\tilde{\mu},0} - \varphi_{\mu-\tilde{\mu}}(s)\big)\frac{d}{ds}\big(u_{\mathrm{D}}(t,s)\tilde{u}(s)\big)\,ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left(\frac{1}{a(r)} - \frac{1}{\tilde{a}(r)}\right) u_{\mathrm{N}}(t,r)(\tilde{a}\tilde{u}')(r+)\,dr. \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate $\frac{d}{ds}\big(u_{\mathrm{D}}(t,s)\tilde{u}(s)\big)$. From $u_{\mathrm{D}}(s,s) = 0$ and the assumed bound on $|\partial_1 u_{\mathrm{D}}(t+,s)|$ we obtain $|u_{\mathrm{D}}(t,s)| \leqslant \frac{c}{\omega}e^{\omega|t-s|}$ for all $s,t \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.3 we have $\big\|(\tilde{a}\tilde{u}')|_{[\alpha,\beta]}\big\|_\infty \leqslant (2\|a\|_\infty + \|\tilde{\mu}\|_{\mathrm{unif}})\big\|\tilde{u}|_{[\alpha,\beta]}\big\|_\infty$. Noting that $u_{\mathrm{D}}(t,s) = -u_{\mathrm{D}}(s,t)$ we hence obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{\partial^+}{\partial s}\big(u_{\mathrm{D}}(t,s)\tilde{u}(s)\big)\right| &= |-\partial_1 u_{\mathrm{D}}(s+,t)\tilde{u}(s) + u_{\mathrm{D}}(t,s)\tilde{u}'(s+)| \\ &\leqslant C_0 ce^{\omega|t-s|}\big\|\tilde{u}|_{[\alpha,\beta]}\big\|_\infty \quad (s,t \in [\alpha,\beta]), \end{aligned}$$

with $C_0 = \|\frac{1}{\tilde a}\|_\infty\big(1+\frac{1}{\omega}(2\|a\|_\infty+\|\tilde\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}})\big)$. For $t\in[0,\beta]$ we therefore estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&|u(t)-\tilde u(t)|\\
&\leqslant \int_0^t |c_{\mu-\tilde\mu,0}-\varphi_{\mu-\tilde\mu}(s)|\Big|\frac{d}{ds}\big(u_{\mathrm{D}}(t,s)\tilde u(s)\big)\Big|\,ds\\
&\quad+\int_0^t \Big|\tfrac{1}{a(r)}-\tfrac{1}{\tilde a(r)}\Big||u_{\mathrm{N}}(t,r)(\tilde a\tilde u')(r+)|\,dr\\
&\leqslant C_0c\big\|\tilde u|_{[\alpha,\beta]}\big\|_\infty\sum_{k=1}^{\beta}\int_{k-1}^{k} e^{\omega(t-s)}|c_{\mu-\tilde\mu,0}-\varphi_{\mu-\tilde\mu}(s)|\,ds\\
&\quad+\Big\|\frac{1}{a}\Big\|_\infty\Big\|\frac{1}{\tilde a}\Big\|_\infty(2\|\tilde a\|_\infty+\|\tilde\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}})\big\|\tilde u|_{[\alpha,\beta]}\big\|_\infty ce^{\omega t}\int_0^t\|\tilde a(r)-a(r)\|\,dr\\
&\leqslant C_0c\big\|\tilde u|_{[\alpha,\beta]}\big\|_\infty\sum_{k=1}^{\beta}e^{\omega(t+1-k)}2k\|\mu-\tilde\mu\|_{[\alpha,\beta]}\\
&\quad+\Big\|\frac{1}{a}\Big\|_\infty\Big\|\frac{1}{\tilde a}\Big\|_\infty(2\|\tilde a\|_\infty+\|\tilde\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}})\big\|\tilde u|_{[\alpha,\beta]}\big\|_\infty ce^{\omega t}\int_0^t\|\tilde a(r)-a(r)\|\,dr\\
&\leqslant Cc\big\|\tilde u|_{[\alpha,\beta]}\big\|_\infty e^{\omega t}\big(\|\mu-\tilde\mu\|_{[\alpha,\beta]}+\big\|(a-\tilde a)|_{[\alpha,\beta]}\big\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R})}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

where $C=C_0\sum_{k=1}^\infty 2ke^{-\omega(k+1)}+\|\frac{1}{a}\|_\infty\|\frac{1}{\tilde a}\|_\infty(2\|\tilde a\|_\infty+\|\tilde\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}})c$. The proof for the case $t\in[\alpha,0)$ is analogous. □

Lemma 3.15. *Let $a\in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\frac{1}{a}\in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\mu\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$, u a solution of $H_{a,\mu}u=0$, $\omega:=\big(\|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}}\|\frac{1}{a}\|_\infty^{-1}\big)^{1/2}$. Then*

$$\big(\omega^2|u(t)|^2+|(au')(t+)|^2\big)^{1/2}\leqslant\big(\omega^2|u(0)|^2+|(au')(0+)|^2\big)^{1/2}e^{\omega(|t|+1/2)}\quad(t\in\mathbb{R}).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $\mu\neq 0$ (the case $\mu=0$ is trivial).

(i) We first assume that $\mu=\rho\lambda$ with a density $\rho\in C(\mathbb{R})$. Then $au'\in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $(au')'=\rho u$. Let $\varphi(t):=\omega^2|u(t)|^2+|(au')(t)|^2$. Then

$$|\varphi'(t)|=\Big|2\operatorname{Re}\big(\big(\tfrac{\omega}{\overline{a(t)}}+|\rho(t)|\big)u(t)\overline{(au')(t)}\big)\Big|\leqslant\big(\tfrac{\omega}{|a(t)|}+\tfrac{|\rho(t)|}{\omega}\big)\varphi(t).$$

Hence, $\varphi(t)\leqslant\varphi(s)\exp(\omega\|\frac{1}{a}\|_\infty|t-s|+\frac{1}{\omega}\int_s^t\rho(r)\,dr)$ and therefore

$$\big(\omega^2|u(t)|^2+|(au')(t+)|^2\big)\leqslant\big(\omega^2|u(s)|^2+|(au')(s)|^2\big)e^{\omega\|\frac{1}{a}\|_\infty|t-s|+\frac{1}{\omega}|\mu|([s,t])}$$

for all $s,t\in\mathbb{R}$, $s<t$.

(ii) By [12, Proposition 2.5] there exists (μ_n) in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$ such that μ_n has a smooth density and $\|\mu_n\|_{\mathrm{unif}} \leqslant \|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|\mu_n - \mu\|_{\mathbb{R}} \to 0$ and $\limsup_{n\to\infty} |\mu_n|(I) \leqslant |\mu|(I)$ for all compact intervals $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then [12, Lemma 2.4] implies $\mathbb{1}_{[}\alpha,\beta]\mu_n \to \mathbb{1}_{[\alpha,\beta]}\mu$ weakly for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mu(\{\alpha\}) = \mu(\{\beta\}) = 0$.

(iii) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let u_n be the solution of $H_{a,\mu_n} u_n = 0$ such that $u_n(0) = u(0)$, $(au_n)'(0+) = (au')(0+) + c_{\mu-\mu_n,0}u(0)$. By Lemma 3.12, (u_n) is uniformly bounded on any compact interval, so Lemma 3.14 implies $u_n \to u$ locally uniformly. Hence, for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\mu(\{s\}) = \mu(\{t\}) = 0$ we obtain

$$(au_n')(t) - (au_n')(s) = \int_s^t u_n(r)\, d\mu_n(r) \to \int_s^t u(r)\, d\mu(r) = (au')(t+) - (au')(s+).$$

By Lemma 3.3 also (au_n') is uniformly bounded on $[0,1]$, so dividing by $a(s)$ and integration with respect to s yields

$$(au_n')(t) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{a(s)}\, ds - \big(u_n(1) - u_n(0)\big) \to (au')(t+) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{a(s)}\, ds - \big(u(1) - u(0)\big),$$

so $(au_n')(t) \to (au')(t+)$.

(iv) Let $t > s > 0$ such that $\mu(\{s\}) = \mu(\{t\}) = 0$. By (i) we have

$$\big(\omega^2 |u_n(t)|^2 + |(au_n')(t)|^2\big) \leqslant \big(\omega^2 |u_n(s)|^2 + |(au_n')(s)|^2\big) e^{\omega \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty |t-s| + \frac{1}{\omega}|\mu_n|([s,t])}.$$

Taking the limit $n \to \infty$ noting (ii) we obtain

$$\big(\omega^2 |u(t)|^2 + |(au')(t+)|^2\big) \leqslant \big(\omega^2 |u(s)|^2 + |(au')(s+)|^2\big) e^{\omega \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty |t-s| + \frac{1}{\omega}|\mu|([s,t])}.$$

(v) For $t > 0$ there exist sequences $s_n \in [0,t)$ and (t_n) in $[t,\infty)$ such that $s_n \to 0$, $t_n \to t$ and $\mu(\{s_n\}) = \mu(\{t_n\}) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, from (iv) we deduce

$$\big(\omega^2 |u(t)|^2 + |(au')(t+)|^2\big) \leqslant \big(\omega^2 |u(0)|^2 + |(au')(0+)|^2\big) e^{\omega \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty |t| + \frac{1}{\omega}|\mu|((0,t])}.$$

Plugging in $\omega = \big(\|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}} \big\|\frac{1}{a}\big\|_\infty^{-1}\big)^{1/2}$ yields the assertion for $t \geqslant 0$. The case $t < 0$ is proved analogously. □

We can proceed with the continuum version of Lemma 2.6. The proof is analogous to the discrete case in Lemma 2.6, so we omit it here.

Lemma 3.16. *Let $a \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\frac{1}{a} \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$ and $p > 0$ such that a and μ are p-periodic. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and u a solution of $H_{a,\mu}u = zu$. Then*

$$\max\left\{ \left\| \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ (au')(t+) \end{pmatrix} \right\|;\ t \in \{-p, 0, 2p\} \right\} \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} u(0) \\ (au')(0+) \end{pmatrix} \right\|.$$

Lemma 3.17 (see [12, Lemma 5.1]). *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$, $C > 0$. Assume there exists (p_m) in $(0,\infty)$ with $p_m \to \infty$ such that*

$$e^{Cp_m}\|\mu - \mu(\cdot + p_m)\|_{[-p_m,p_m]} \to 0.$$

Then there exists (μ_m) in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{loc,unif}}(\mathbb{R})$ such that μ_m is periodic with period p_m $(m \in \mathbb{N})$, and

$$e^{Cp_m}\|\mu - \mu_m\|_{[-p_m,2p_m]} \to 0 \qquad (m \to \infty).$$

Moreover, the measures μ_m can be chosen such that

$$\mathbb{1}_{[\alpha_m,p_m-\alpha_m]}\mu_m = \mathbb{1}_{[\alpha_m,p_m-\alpha_m]}\mu, \quad \|\mu_m\|_{\mathrm{unif}} \leqslant \big(1 + \tfrac{1}{2\alpha_m}\big)\|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, with $0 < \alpha_m \leqslant \frac{p_m}{2}$ and $\inf_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \alpha_m > 0$.

Proof of Theorem 3.8. Without loss of generality, let $p_m \geqslant 4$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let (μ_m) and (α_m) as in Lemma 3.17 such that $p_m + \alpha_m \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_m \to \infty$ and $\frac{\alpha_m}{p_m} \to 0$.

Assume that $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| < C^2\big\|\frac{1}{a}\big\|_\infty - \|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}}$ is an eigenvalue of $H_{a,\mu}$. Let $u \neq 0$ be corresponding eigenfunction. Then $u \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let u_m be the solution of $H_{a_m,\mu_m}u_m = zu_m$ satisfying $u_m(\alpha_m) = u(\alpha_m)$, $(a_m u_m')(\alpha_m+) = (au')(\alpha_m')$. Then $u_m = u$ on $[\alpha_m, p_m - \alpha_m]$, since $\mu_m = \mu$ on this interval. Note that $c_{\mu-\tilde{\mu},\alpha_m+1} = 0$, since $\mathbb{1}_{[\alpha_m,\alpha_m+2]}(\mu_m - \mu) = 0$. By Lemma 3.14, for $t \in [-p_m, \alpha_m]$ we obtain

$$|u(t) - u_m(t)| \leqslant C_m e^{\omega_m|t-(\alpha_m+1)|}\big(\|a - a_m\|_{L_1(-p_m,\alpha_m+1)} + \|\mu - \mu_m\|_{[-p_m,\alpha_m+1]}\big)$$

where $\omega_m = \big(\|\mu_m - z\lambda\|_{\mathrm{unif}}\big\|\frac{1}{a_m}\big\|_\infty^{-1}\big)^{1/2}$ as in Lemma 3.15, and C_m is only depending on ω_m, $\big\|\frac{1}{a}\big\|_\infty$, $\big\|\frac{1}{a_m}\big\|_\infty$, $\|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}}$ and $\|a\|_\infty$, and similarly for $t \in [p_m - \alpha_m, 2p_m]$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{t\in[-p_m,2p_m]} |u(t) - u_m(t)| \\ &\leqslant C_m e^{\omega_m(p_m+\alpha_m+1)}\big(\|a - a_m\|_{L_1(-p_m,2p_m)} + \|\mu - \mu_m\|_{[-p_m,2p_m]}\big). \qquad (4)\end{aligned}$$

Since $\big\|\frac{1}{a_m}\big\|_\infty^{-1} \leqslant \big\|\frac{1}{a}\big\|_\infty^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_m^2 &= \left\|\frac{1}{a_m}\right\|_\infty^{-1}\big(\|\mu_m\|_{\mathrm{unif}} + |z|\big) \leqslant \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty^{-1}\big(\big(1 + \tfrac{1}{2\alpha_m}\big)\|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}} + |z|\big) \\ &\to \left\|\frac{1}{a}\right\|_\infty^{-1}\big(\|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}} + |z|\big) < C^2,\end{aligned}$$

so for large m we obtain

$$\omega_m(p_m + \alpha_m + 1) \leqslant Cp_m.$$

Thus, for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that such that $|u(t) - u_m(t)| \leqslant \varepsilon$ for all $m \geqslant m_0$ and $t \in [-p_m, 2p_m]$. Since $u \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, we there exists $m_1 \geqslant m_0$ such that $|u(t)| \leqslant \varepsilon$ for $|t| \geqslant p_{m_1} - 1 =: t_1$. Then $|u_m| \leqslant 2\varepsilon$ on $[-p_m, 2p_m] \setminus (-t_1, t_1)$, for all $m \geqslant m_1$. By Lemma 3.3 we obtain $|a_m u_m'| \leqslant 2\varepsilon(2\|a_m\|_\infty + \|\mu_m - z\lambda\|_{\mathrm{unif}})$ on that set. Hence,

$$\big(u_m(\pm p_m), (a_m u_m')(\pm p_m+)\big), \big(u_m(2p_m), (a_m u_m')(2p_m+)\big) \to 0 \quad (m \to \infty).$$

Lemma 3.16 yields $\big(u_m(0), (a_m u_m')(0+)\big) \to 0$. By Lemma 3.12 we now obtain $u_m \to 0$ locally uniformly. Since $u_m \to u$ locally uniformly by (4), we obtain $u = 0$, a contradiction. □

Remark 3.18. As shown in [12, Remark 5.7 and Section 6] for Schrödinger operators (i.e. $a = 1$), the eigenvalue bound $C^2 - \|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif}}$ can be sharpened to the optimal bound $C_\mu^2 - \inf_{r>0} \|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif},r}$, where

$$C_\mu = -\liminf_{p\to\infty} \frac{1}{p} \ln \|\mu - \mu(\cdot + p)\|_{[-p,p]}$$

and

$$\|\mu\|_{\mathrm{unif},r} := \frac{1}{r} \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |\mu|\big((a, a+r]\big).$$

An analogous sharpening can also be done in our case, also yielding optimal bounds.

A Gronwall inequalities

Lemma A.1. *Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in $[0,\infty)$, $x_0 = 0$, $(\alpha_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in $[1,\infty)$ and $(\beta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in $[0,\infty)$ such that*

$$x_{n+1} \leqslant \alpha_n x_n + \beta_n \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Then

$$x_n \leqslant \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Proof. For $n = 0$ the assertion ist tivial. For the induction step from n to $n+1$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &\leqslant \alpha_n x_n + \beta_n \leqslant \alpha_n \Big(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k \Big) \\ &\leqslant \prod_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k + \prod_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k \beta_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma A.2. *Let $\alpha\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ be measurable, μ a nonnegative Borel measure on $[0,\infty)$ and $u \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}([0,\infty),\mu)$ such that*

$$u(t) \leqslant \alpha(t) + \int\limits_{[0,t)} u(s)\, d\mu(s) \quad (t \geqslant 0).$$

Then

$$u(t) \leqslant \alpha(t) + \int\limits_{[0,t)} \alpha(s) \exp\bigl(\mu\bigl((s,t)\bigr)\bigr)\, d\mu(s) \quad (t \geqslant 0).$$

Proof. (i) Iterating the inequality yields

$$u(t) \leqslant \alpha(t) + \int\limits_{[0,t)} \alpha(s) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu^{\otimes k}\bigl(A_k(s,t)\bigr)\, d\mu(s) + R_n(t) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, t \geqslant 0),$$

where

$$R_n(t) := \int\limits_{[0,t)} u(s) \mu^{\otimes n}\bigl(A_n(s,t)\bigr)\, d\mu(s)$$

is the remainder,

$$A_k(s,t) := \bigl\{(s_1,\dots,s_k) \in (s,t)^k\bigr\} s_1 < \ldots < s_k$$

is an k-dimensional simplex and

$$\mu^{\otimes 0}\bigl(A_0(s,t)\bigr) := 1.$$

(ii) Let $0 \leqslant s < t$. We now prove

$$\mu^{\otimes k}\bigl(A_k(s,t)\bigr) \leqslant \frac{\mu\bigl((s,t)\bigr)^k}{k!} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Indeed, let S_k be the set of all permutations of $\{1,\dots,k\}$. For $\sigma \in S_k$ let

$$A_{k,\sigma}(s,t) := \bigl\{(s_1,\dots,s_k) \in (s,t)^k\bigr\} s_{\sigma(1)} < \ldots < s_{\sigma(k)}.$$

Then for $\sigma \neq \sigma'$ we obtain $A_{k\sigma}(s,t) \cap A_{k,\sigma'}(s,t) = \varnothing$. Furthermore,

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in S_k} A_{k,\sigma}(s,t) \subseteq (s,t)^k.$$

Hence,

$$k! \mu^{\otimes k}\bigl(A_k(s,t)\bigr) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \mu^{\otimes k}\bigl(A_k(s,t)\bigr) \leqslant \mu^{\otimes k}\bigl((s,t)^k\bigr) = \mu\bigl((s,t)\bigr)^k.$$

(iii) By (ii), we obtain

$$|R_n(t)| \leqslant \frac{\mu\big((s,t)\big)^n}{n!} \int\limits_{[0,t)} |u(s)|\, d\mu(s) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, t \geqslant 0).$$

Since u is locally integrable with respect to μ we obtain $R_n \to 0$ pointwise. Thus, (i) yields

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\leqslant \alpha(t) + \int\limits_{[0,t)} \alpha(s) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\mu\big((s,t)\big)^k}{k!}\, d\mu(s) + R_n(t) \\ &\leqslant \alpha(t) + \int\limits_{[0,t)} \alpha(s) \exp\big(\mu\big((s,t)\big)\big)\, d\mu(s) + R_n(t) \\ &\to \alpha(t) + \int\limits_{[0,t)} \alpha(s) \exp\big(\mu\big((s,t)\big)\big)\, d\mu(s). \end{aligned}$$

□

B Unimodular Matrices

An $n \times n$-matrix A with complex entries is called unimodular, if $\det A = 1$. Let $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be the set of all unimodular $n \times n$-matrices.

Lemma B.1. *For $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ we have*

$$\|A\| = \big\|A^{-1}\big\|.$$

Proof. By the Schur decomposition we may assume that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & \frac{1}{a} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a} & -b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

We compute

$$A^T A = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & ab \\ ab & b^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (A^{-1})^T A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a^2} & -\frac{b}{a} \\ -\frac{b}{a} & a^2 + b^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since these two matrices have the same traces and determinants, their characteristic polynomials are equal and therefore they have the same eigenvalues. Hence, $\|A\| = \big\|A^{-1}\big\|$. □

References

[1] A. Ben Amor and C. Remling, *Direct and inverse spectral theory of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with measures*. Integr. equ. oper. theory **52**, 395–417 (2005).

[2] D. Damanik, *Gordon-type arguments in the spectral theory of one-dimensional quasicrystals*. in "Directions in Mathematical Quasicrystals", CRM Monogr. Ser. **13**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 277-305 (2000).

[3] D. Damanik and G. Stolz, *A generalization of Gordon's theorem and applications to quasiperiodic Schrödinger operators*. Electron. J. Diff. Eqns. **55**, 1–8 (2000).

[4] D. Damanik, *A version of Gordon's theorem for multi-dimensional Schrödinger operators*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356**, 495–507 (2004).

[5] J. Eckhardt and G. Teschl, *Sturm-Liouville operators with measure-valued coefficients*. J. Anal. Math. **120**(1), 151–224 (2013).

[6] A. Gordon, *On the point spectrum of the one-dimensional Schrödinger operator*. Usp. Math. Nauk 31, 257–258 (1976).

[7] A. Gordon, *A sufficient condition for continuity of the spectrum of a discrete Schrödinger operator*. Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **20**(4), 70–71 (1986).

[8] H. Krüger, *Absence of Anderson localization for Liouville quasi-periodic operators in arbitrary dimension*. Preprint.

[9] E.M. Ouhabaz, *Analysis of Heat Equations on Domains.* Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005.

[10] C. Seifert, *Gordon type theorem for measure perturbation*. Electron. J. Diff. Eqns. **111**, 1–9 (2011).

[11] C. Seifert, *Measure-perturbed one-dimensional Schrödinger operators – A continuum model for quasicrystals*. Dissertation thesis, Chemnitz University of Technology (2012). url: `http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:ch1-qucosa-102766`

[12] C. Seifert and H. Vogt, *A weak Gordon type condition for absence of eigenvalues of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators*. Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **78**, 383–405 (2014).

Christian Seifert
Technische Universität Hamburg-Harburg
Institut für Mathematik
Schwarzenbergstraße 95 E
21073 Hamburg, Germany
`christian.seifert@tuhh.de`